

## DRIVING ASSIST SYSTEM FOR VEHICLE

### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

#### 1. Field of the Invention

5       The present invention relates to a driving assist system for a vehicle that assists operations by a driver, to a vehicle provided with such a system and a method for calculating risk potential.

#### 2. Description of Related Art

10       Systems employed to assist driver operations in the related art include the system disclosed in Japanese Laid Open Patent Publication No. 2000-54860. This system adjusts reaction force generated when an accelerator pedal is operated, based on a distance between a subject vehicle and a preceding  
15 vehicle detected by laser radar or the like during automatic cruise control. If the detected distance between vehicles is smaller than a predetermined value, this system sets accelerator pedal reaction force to become strong to warn the driver. During automatic cruise control the accelerator  
20 reaction force is set strong so that the driver can rest his foot on the accelerator pedal.

### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

25       However, the above described system issues a warning in the event that the subject vehicle approaches close to the

preceding vehicle, and it is difficult to reflect the risk actually perceived by the driver in accelerator reaction force control.

The present invention is to provide a driving assist  
5 system for a vehicle capable of conveying a risk potential in a manner appropriate to the state of the driver's perception.

A driving assist system for a vehicle according to the present invention comprises: a state recognition device that detects a vehicle condition and a traveling environment of  
10 a subject vehicle; a future state prediction device that calculates a current degree of proximity to a preceding vehicle and/or an extent of influence on the subject vehicle due to future changes in surrounding environment to predict future driving conditions, based on detection results of the state  
15 recognition device; and a risk potential calculating device that calculates risk potential around the subject vehicle based on the future driving conditions predicted by the future state prediction device and a driver's intentions.

A driving assist system for a vehicle according to the  
20 present invention comprises: a state recognition means for detecting a vehicle condition and a traveling environment of a subject vehicle; a future state prediction means for calculating a current degree of proximity to a preceding vehicle and/or an extent of influence on the subject vehicle  
25 due to future changes in surrounding environment to predict

future driving conditions, based on detection results of the state recognition means; and a risk potential calculating means for calculating risk potential around the subject vehicle based on the future driving conditions predicted by the future state prediction means and a driver's intentions.

A vehicle according to the present invention comprises: a vehicle operating unit; a state recognition device that detects a vehicle condition and a traveling environment of a subject vehicle; a future state prediction device that calculates a current degree of proximity to a preceding vehicle and/or an extent of influence on the subject vehicle due to future changes in surrounding environment to predict future driving conditions, based on detection results of the state recognition device; a risk potential calculating device that calculates risk potential around the subject vehicle based on the future driving conditions predicted by the future state prediction device and a driver's intentions; a reaction force calculating device that calculates an operation reaction force to be generated in the vehicle operating unit according to the risk potential calculated by the risk potential calculating device; and a reaction force generating device that generates the operation reaction force calculated by the reaction force calculating device in the vehicle operating unit.

A method for calculating risk potential according to the present invention detects a vehicle condition and a

traveling environment of a subject vehicle; predicts future driving conditions by calculating a current degree of proximity to a preceding vehicle and/or an extent of influence on the subject vehicle due to future changes in surrounding  
5 environment based on the vehicle conditions and the traveling environment having been detected; and calculates the risk potential around the subject vehicle based on the predicted future driving conditions and a driver's intentions.

10

#### BLIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a block diagram showing the structure of a driving assist system for a vehicle according to an embodiment of the present invention.

15 FIG. 2 is a structural diagram of a vehicle fitted with the vehicle driving assist system shown in FIG. 1.

FIG. 3 is a structural diagram of an accelerator pedal and the vicinity thereof.

20 FIG. 4 is a flow chart showing the procedural flow of a drive operation assist control program executed in a controller of an embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 5 schematically illustrates traveling conditions of a vehicle and a preceding vehicle.

25 FIG. 6 is a figure showing a relationship between an accelerator pedal operation amount and an accelerator pedal reaction force.

FIG. 7 is a table of risk potential equations.

#### DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

##### -First Embodiment-

5           FIG. 1 shows the structure of a vehicle driving assist system 1 of the first embodiment of the present invention, and FIG. 2 is a structural diagram of a vehicle fitted with the vehicle driving assist system 1.

First of all, the structure of the vehicle driving assist  
10 system will be described.

A laser radar 10 is attached to a front grill of the vehicle or to a bumper etc., and propagates infrared pulses in a forward horizontal direction for scanning. The laser radar 10 measures reflected radiation of infrared pulses reflected  
15 by a plurality of reflecting objects ahead, such as the rear of a vehicle in front, and detects distance (vehicle distance) from the subject vehicle to a preceding vehicle and relative velocity (relative speed) of vehicles based on the elapsed time the reflected radiation to be received. The laser radar  
20 10 outputs the detected vehicle distance and relative speed between vehicles to a controller 50. The laser radar 10 can scan the forward region which is about 6 degrees each side of an axis parallel to the vehicle longitudinal centerline, and objects existing within this range are detected.

25           A vehicle speed sensor 20 detects traveling speed of

the subject vehicle from rotational speed of a wheel thereof etc., and outputs the vehicle speed to the controller 50.

The controller 50 comprises a CPU and CPU peripheral devices, such as ROM, RAM etc., and performs overall control  
5 of the vehicle driving assist system.

The controller 50 calculates risk potential relative to the preceding vehicle traveling in front of the subject vehicle based on signals of, such as the vehicle speed, the vehicle distance and the relative speed between vehicles input  
10 from the vehicle speed sensor 20 and the laser radar 10. The controller 50 then outputs reaction force command values to an accelerator pedal reaction force control device (AF control device) 60 based on the calculated risk potential.

The AF control device 60 controls accelerator pedal  
15 reaction force in response to the command values from the controller 50. As shown in FIG. 3, a servo motor 70 and an accelerator pedal stroke sensor 71 are connected to an accelerator pedal 80 via a link mechanism. The servo motor 70 controls torque and rotation angle thereof in response to  
20 commands from the AF control device 60 so as to control the reaction force generated when the driver operates the accelerator pedal 80. The accelerator pedal stroke sensor 71 detects an operation amount S of the accelerator pedal 80 converted to a rotation angle of the servo motor 70 through  
25 the link mechanism.

When the accelerator pedal reaction force control according to the risk potential is not being performed, the accelerator pedal reaction force  $F$  may increase linearly along with increase of the operation amount  $S$  of the accelerator pedal 80 as shown in FIG. 6. The function  $F_i$  of the accelerator pedal reaction force  $F$  with respect to the accelerator pedal operation amount  $S$  when the accelerator pedal reaction force control is not being carried out is taken as being a normal reaction force characteristic. The normal reaction force characteristic  $F_i$  may be obtained, for example, by spring force of a torsion spring (not shown in the drawings) provided at the center of rotation of the servo motor 70.

A warning system 90 notifies the risk potential relative to the preceding vehicle to the driver in response to a signal from the controller 50. The warning system 90 has, for instance, a display monitor and a warning buzzer.

Next, operation of the vehicle driving assist system 1 of the present invention will be described. FIG. 4 is a flow chart showing the procedural flow of a drive operation assist control program executed in the controller 50. These processing procedures are executed continuously at predetermined time intervals of, e. g. , 50msec.

In step S110, the controller 50 reads driving conditions of the subject vehicle and the vehicle surroundings from the laser radar 10 and the vehicle speed sensor 20. FIG. 5

schematically illustrates traveling conditions of the subject vehicle and the preceding vehicle. Parameters representing the traveling conditions of the subject vehicle are the followings: current position  $x_1$  of the subject vehicle in the longitudinal direction, vehicle speed  $v_1$ , and vehicle acceleration  $a_1$ . Parameters representing the traveling conditions of the preceding vehicle are the followings: current position  $x_2$  of the preceding vehicle in the longitudinal direction, preceding vehicle speed  $v_2$ , and preceding vehicle acceleration  $a_2$ . A distance  $d$  between the subject vehicle and the preceding vehicle, relative speed  $v_r$ , and relative acceleration  $a_r$  are  $d=x_2-x_1$ ,  $v_r=v_2-v_1$ , and  $a_r=a_2-a_1$ , respectively.

In step S120, a degree of proximity to the vehicle currently in front of the subject vehicle and a predicted extent of influence on the subject vehicle due to changes in surrounding environment from now on are calculated using the parameters of the driving conditions read in step S110. Here, time to contact (TTC) is calculated as the degree of proximity to the preceding vehicle and time headway (THW) is calculated as the predicted extent of influence.

TTC is a physical quantity representing current degree of proximity of the subject vehicle to the preceding vehicle. In the case where current driving conditions are continuous, that is, when the subject vehicle speed  $v_1$ , the preceding



vehicle speed  $v_2$  and the relative speed  $v_r$  are constant, TTC indicates how many seconds later the vehicle distance  $d$  will become zero and the subject vehicle and the preceding vehicle come into contact with each other. TTC can be obtained from  
5 the following expression 1.

$$\text{TTC}:\tau_c = -d/v_r \quad \dots(\text{expression 1})$$

As the value of TTC becomes smaller, the degree of proximity to the preceding vehicle becomes greater, which indicates tens situation with possible contact between the  
10 subject vehicle and the preceding vehicle. For example, when the subject vehicle approaches towards the preceding vehicle, it is known that most drivers start a deceleration operation before TTC becomes less than four seconds.

THW is a physical quantity representing the predicted  
15 extent of influence on TTC due to future change in the preceding vehicle speed while the subject vehicle is following the preceding vehicle. In other words, THW represents the extent of influence upon TTC when it is assumed that the relative velocity  $v_r$  will change. THW is represented by the following  
20 expression 2.

$$\text{THW}:\tau_h = d/v_1 \quad \dots(\text{expression 2})$$

THW is obtained by dividing the vehicle distance  $d$  by the subject vehicle speed  $v_1$ , and represents a period of time until the subject vehicle reaches the current position of the  
25 preceding vehicle. As the THW becomes larger, the predicted

degree of influence with respect to changes in surrounding environment becomes smaller. That is, if THW is large, there is not a lot of influence on the degree of proximity to preceding vehicle even if the preceding vehicle velocity  $v_2$  changes in the future, indicating that TTC does not vary a great deal. It should be understood that, if the subject vehicle follows the preceding vehicle at the subject vehicle speed  $v_1$  equal to the preceding vehicle speed  $v_2$ , it is also possible to calculate THW by substituting the preceding vehicle speed  $v_2$  for the subject vehicle speed  $v_1$  in expression 2.

In step S130, the risk potential RP with respect to the preceding vehicle is calculated using TTC and THW calculated in step S120. The method of calculating the risk potential RP will be described later.

In step S140, an accelerator pedal reaction force increase amount (AF increase amount)  $\Delta F$  is calculated based on the risk potential RP calculated in step S130. The AF increase amount  $\Delta F$  increases as the risk potential RP becomes greater. For instance, the AF increase amount  $\Delta F$  is set so as to be proportional to the risk potential RP ( $\Delta F = k \times RP$ ).

Next, in step S150, the AF increase amount  $\Delta F$  calculated in step S140 is output to the AF control device 60. The AF control device 60 controls the servo motor 70 according to a command from the controller 50. FIG. 6 shows a relationship between the accelerator pedal operation amount S and the

accelerator pedal reaction force  $F$ . The reaction force  $F$  which is obtained by adding the AF increase amount  $\Delta F$  to the normal reaction force characteristic  $F_i$  is generated at the accelerator pedal 80 by drive of the servomotor 70. As a result,  
5 a larger pedal reaction force  $F$  is generated as the risk potential RP becomes greater.

In step S160, an operation command is output to the warning system 90 such as a display monitor and a warning buzzer according to the risk potential RP. For instance, if the risk  
10 potential RP exceeds a predetermined value, commands are issued for sounding a warning buzzer and displaying a magnitude of the risk potential RP to a display monitor. The processing for this time then terminates.

In this way, the risk potential RP is recognized by the  
15 driver by controlling the accelerator pedal reaction force and outputting a warning according to the risk potential RP. Moreover, by conveying the risk potential RP in the vehicle surroundings, the driver is assisted and prompted to operate a vehicle in an appropriate manner.

20 A method of calculating the risk potential RP in the first embodiment is described in the following. The risk potential RP with respect to the preceding vehicle may be calculated using TTC and THW with the following expression 3. The Risk potential RP calculated by using expression 3 is  
25 taken as RP0.

$$RP0 = a/THW + b/TTC \quad \dots (\text{expression 3})$$

Here, a reciprocal of TTC ( $1/TTC$ ) represents the degree of proximity to the preceding vehicle, and a reciprocal of THW ( $1/THW$ ) represents the predicted extent of influence upon the subject vehicle. Here, a and b are constants for appropriate weighting of the extent of influence and the degree of proximity respectively, and are set, for example, to  $a=1$  and  $b=8$  ( $a < b$ ).

By calculating the risk potential  $RP0$  using expression 3, it is possible to represent the degree of closeness corresponding to continuous variations in the driving state, from while following the preceding vehicle to when approaching closely to the preceding vehicle.

This risk potential  $RP0$  is only defined using current values of THW and TTC. That is, the risk potential  $RP0$  represented using expression 3 is only defined using the vehicle distance  $d$ , the current subject vehicle speed  $v1$  and the preceding vehicle speed  $v2$ . Thus, even when the subject vehicle approaches the preceding vehicle while the driver is accelerating, or while the driver is decelerating, the same value of the risk potential  $RP0$  will be calculated as long as the vehicle distance  $d$  and the vehicle speeds  $v1$  and  $v2$  are the same.

However, even if the risk potential  $RP0$  has the same value, in the case of accelerating and approaching the preceding vehicle, the driver senses high risk since it is

expected that the preceding vehicle will be closer in future. On the other hand in the case of decelerating and approaching the preceding vehicle the driver senses low risk compared to the case of acceleration. Accordingly, if accelerator pedal  
5 reaction force control or approach warning announcement is carried out based on the risk potential  $RP_0$  which is calculated using expression 3 and is a risk different to the actual risk perceived by the driver, it may give the driver a strange feeling. Also, in a situation where the preceding vehicle suddenly  
10 decelerates, the driver predicts that future risk will increase depending on degree of deceleration of the preceding vehicle, and perceives a great risk. However, with the risk potential  $RP_0$  of expression 3, the degree of acceleration  $a_2$  of the preceding vehicle is not taken into account.

15 With the vehicle driving assist system 1 according to an embodiment of the present invention, in calculation of the risk potential  $RP$  the driver's intentions are added to calculate a risk potential equivalent to the risk actually perceived by the driver so that odd feeling of the driver are  
20 reduced when carrying out accelerator pedal reaction force control or warning output. In particular, the vehicle driving assist system 1 of an embodiment estimates the driver's intentions from acceleration and deceleration of the subject vehicle, or from acceleration and deceleration of the subject  
25 vehicle and the preceding vehicle to calculate the risk

potential RP. Furthermore, in situations such as sudden deceleration or acceleration of the preceding vehicle, operation reaction force control and warning announcement are carried out without giving the driver an uncomfortable feeling.

5        FIG. 7 is a table of risk potential calculation equations for the first to fourth embodiments which will be described hereinafter.

First of all, in the first embodiment, the driver's speed change intentions are included in the risk potential  
10 calculation using speed adjustment rate (a degree of acceleration or deceleration) of the subject vehicle. In the following, a method of calculating the risk potential RP in the first embodiment will be described in detail.

Here, a reciprocal of THW,  $P=1/THW$ , will be used as a  
15 base equation for calculating the risk potential RP. A risk potential RP1 of the first embodiment is represented by expression 4 below, using the base equation P.

$$RP1 = \alpha 1 \times P + \beta 1 \times P' \quad \dots (\text{expression 4})$$

Here,  $\alpha 1$  and  $\beta 1$  are constants for applying an appropriate  
20 weight to P and P', respectively. P' represents a differentiated value of the base equation P.

As shown in expression 4, the risk potential RP1 can be obtained from a linear sum of the base equation P and the once differentiated base equation P'. If expression 4 is  
25 computed using parameters shown in FIG. 5, it is represented

as shown in expression 5 below.

$$RP_1 = \frac{1}{\tau_h} \left( \alpha_1 + \frac{\beta_1}{\tau_1} + \frac{\beta_1}{\tau_c} \right) \dots (\text{expression 5})$$

Here,  $\tau_1 = v_1/a_1$ .

As shown in expression 5, the risk potential  $RP_1$  is  
5 calculated using an equation that includes  $THW = \tau_h$  and  $TTC = \tau_c$ ,  
as well as a term  $1/\tau_1$  corresponding to the subject vehicle  
acceleration  $a_1$ . In this way, if the subject vehicle  
acceleration  $a_1$  becomes large, the risk potential  $RP_1$  will  
become large. Therefore, even if  $THW$  and  $TTC$  have the same  
10 values, the risk potential  $RP_1$  becomes relatively large at  
the time of acceleration of the subject vehicle, while at the  
time of deceleration the risk potential  $RP_1$  becomes relatively  
small.

As described above, the controller 50 of the first  
15 embodiment detects a vehicle condition and a traveling  
environment of the subject vehicle, and calculates a current  
degree of proximity to the preceding vehicle and an extent  
of influence on the subject vehicle due to predicted future  
changes in surrounding environment to predict future driving  
20 conditions. The controller 50 then calculates the risk  
potential  $RP$  around the subject vehicle based on the future  
driving conditions, adding the driver's intentions to the  
future driving conditions. In this manner, in the first  
embodiment it is possible to precisely convey the risk

potential RP of the vehicle surroundings to the driver by controlling operation reaction force generated at the accelerator pedal 80 according to the risk potential RP.

In particular, since, in the first embodiment, the vehicle acceleration  $a_1$  directly reflecting the driver's intentions with regard to speed adjustment is included in the risk potential calculation, it is possible to calculate a risk potential equivalent to the risk actually perceived by the driver. In this way, reaction force characteristics of the accelerator pedal 80 are consistent with conditions at that time, namely the current traveling conditions of the subject vehicle and the driver's perceptions. Therefore, accelerator pedal reaction force control can be carried out, reducing adverse effects on the driver.

Also, since the warning system 90 is operated according to the risk potential RP calculated as described above, it is possible to carry out a precise warning operation as well as accelerator reaction force control.

As has been described above, in the first embodiment, the risk potential RP is calculated using a reciprocal of THW. Specifically, the risk potential RP is calculated by adding a reciprocal of THW and a differentiated value of the reciprocal of THW. In this way, the acceleration  $a_1$  of the subject vehicle is reflected in the risk potential RP, and it is possible to carry out reaction force control that reflects the perception



of the driver inside the vehicle.

For calculation of the risk potential RP1 in the controller 50, either expression 4 or expression 5 can be suitably selected depending on conditions of the CPU of the controller 50 or the structure of the laser radar 10 and the vehicle speed sensor 20 for detecting the driving conditions.

In the case of using expression 4, a value for the base equation  $P=1/THW$  is stored in a memory of the controller 50 together with elapsed time, and the risk potential RP is calculated by directly obtaining a differentiated value for the base equation P through time variation of the base equation P. Thus, the risk potential RP taking account of continuity of the risk potential from the past can be calculated.

In the case of using expression 5, it is possible to calculate a timely risk potential RP by calculating a risk potential RP1 from actually detected current subject vehicle speed  $v1$ , preceding vehicle speed  $v2$ , distance between vehicles  $d$  and subject vehicle acceleration  $a1$ .

#### - Second Embodiment -

Next, a method of calculating the risk potential RP of a second embodiment will be described.

In the second embodiment, in addition to speed adjustment (acceleration or deceleration) of the subject vehicle, speed adjustment of the preceding vehicle is also used to calculate the risk potential RP so that adverse effects on the driver

can be reduced even when the preceding vehicle suddenly accelerates or decelerates.

A risk potential RP2 of the second embodiment is represented by expression 6 below using the base equation P.

5 
$$RP2 = \alpha_2 \times P + \beta_2 \times P' + \gamma_2 \times P'' \quad \dots (\text{expression 6})$$

Here,  $\alpha_2$ ,  $\beta_2$ , and  $\gamma_2$  are constants for respectively applying appropriate weight to P, P', and P''. P' and P'' represent once differentiated value and twice differentiated value of the base equation P respectively.

10 As shown in expression 6, the risk potential RP2 is obtained from a linear sum of the base equation P, the base equation P differentiated once and the base equation P differentiated twice. If expression 6 is computed using the parameters shown in FIG. 5, it is represented as expression  
15 7 below.

$$RP_2 = \frac{1}{\tau_h} \left( \alpha_2 + \frac{\beta_2}{\tau_1} + \frac{1}{\tau_c} \left( \beta_2 + \frac{\gamma_2}{\tau_r} + \frac{2\gamma_2}{\tau_1} + \frac{2\gamma_2}{\tau_c} \right) \right) \dots (\text{expression 7})$$

Here,  $\tau_r = v_r / a_r$ .

As shown in expression 7, the risk potential RP2 is calculated using an equation including THW= $\tau_h$ , TTC= $\tau_c$ , and  
20 also a term corresponding to the subject vehicle acceleration  $a_1$ . In this way, if the subject vehicle acceleration  $a_1$  becomes large, the risk potential RP2 becomes large. Accordingly, even if THW and TTC have the same values, the risk potential RP2 becomes relatively large at the time of vehicle acceleration,

and the resulting value calculated for the risk potential RP2 at the time of vehicle deceleration is comparatively small. Also, the risk potential RP2 includes a term  $1/\tau_r$  corresponding to the relative acceleration  $a_r$  between the subject vehicle and the preceding vehicle. Therefore, even if the vehicle acceleration  $a_1$  is the same value, when the preceding vehicle decelerates, the risk potential RP2 will become large.

Therefore, in the second embodiment, since the vehicle acceleration  $a_1$  and the preceding vehicle acceleration  $a_2$  are included in risk potential calculation, it is possible, in addition to the effects of the first embodiment described above, to calculate the risk potential RP taking into account the movement of the preceding vehicle. In this way, it is possible to calculate a risk potential equivalent to risk actually perceived by the driver in situations such as sudden deceleration of the preceding vehicle. As a result, it is possible to carry out accelerator pedal reaction force control and warning operation that have a reduced adverse effect on the driver.

Similarly to the first embodiment, for calculation of the risk potential RP2 in the controller 50, either expression 6 or expression 7 can be suitably selected depending on the specifications of the controller 50.

#### - Third Embodiment -

A method of calculating the risk potential RP of a third

embodiment of the present invention will now be described.

In the third embodiment, a reciprocal of TTC,  $Q=1/TTC$  is used as a base equation for calculating the risk potential RP. A risk potential RP3 of the third embodiment is represented  
5 by the following expression 8 using the base equation Q.

$$RP_3 = \alpha_3 \int Q dt + \beta_3 Q \dots \text{(expression 8)}$$

Here,  $\alpha_3$  and  $\beta_3$  are constants for applying a suitable weight to  $\int Q dt$  and  $Q$  respectively.

As shown in expression 8, the risk potential RP3 can  
10 be obtained from a linear sum of the base equation Q, and the base equation Q integrated once. If expression 8 is computed using the parameters shown in FIG. 5, it is represented as shown in expression 9 below.

$$RP_3 = C_3 - \alpha_3 \log|d| + \frac{\beta_3}{\tau_c} \dots \text{(expression 9)}$$

15 Here,  $C_3$  is a constant.

As shown in expression 9, the risk potential RP3 is calculated using an equation that includes  $TTC=\tau_c$  and the vehicle distance d.

Generally, in a medium to high speed range above a  
20 predefined vehicle velocity, a driver will carry out a driving operation to maintain a fixed THW with respect to a preceding vehicle regardless of vehicle speed. On the other hand, in the case of low vehicle speed, for example at less than 40 km/h, a driver will not maintain a fixed THW but tend to drive

to maintain the distance  $d$  to the preceding vehicle at a constant value without worrying about vehicle speed.

The risk potential  $RP_3$  is calculated not using THW but using a logarithm of the distance  $d$  between the subject vehicle and the preceding vehicle, and a reciprocal of TTC. The value calculated for the risk potential  $RP_3$  becomes larger as the distance  $d$  between vehicles becomes smaller. In this way, particularly in a region where vehicle speed is low, it is possible to calculate a risk potential close to the actual perception of the driver.

In this way, it is possible to set a general pedal reaction force according to the vehicle distance  $d$  by including the distance  $d$  between vehicles in the risk potential calculation.

In addition, since the risk potential  $RP$  is calculated taking the relative speed  $v_r$  into consideration, it is possible to more accurately set pedal reaction force. In the third embodiment, it is possible to calculate a risk potential equivalent to the risk actually perceived by a driver. As a result, it is possible to carry out accelerator pedal reaction force control and a warning operation that does not give the driver an uncomfortable feeling.

Similarly to the first embodiment, for calculation of the risk potential  $RP_3$ , either expression 8 or expression 9 can be appropriately selected depending on the specification of the controller 50.

- Fourth Embodiment -

Next, a method of calculating the risk potential RP in a fourth embodiment of the present invention will be described.

A risk potential RP4 of the fourth embodiment is  
5 represented by expression 10 using the base equation Q.

$$RP_4 = \alpha_4 \int Q dt + \beta_4 Q + \gamma_4 Q' \dots \text{ (expression 10)}$$

Here,  $\alpha_4$ ,  $\beta_4$ , and  $\gamma_4$  are constants for applying appropriate weight to  $\int Q dt$ ,  $Q$ , and  $Q'$  respectively.  $Q'$  represents a differentiated value of the base equation Q.

10 As shown in expression 10, the risk potential RP4 can be obtained from a linear sum of the base equation Q, an equation of the base equation Q integrated once, and an equation of the base equation Q differentiated once. If expression 10 is computed using the parameters shown in FIG. 5, it is represented  
15 as shown in expression 11 below.

$$RP_4 = C_4 - \alpha_4 \log|d| + \frac{1}{\tau_c} \left( \beta_4 + \gamma_4 \left( \frac{1}{\tau_c} - \frac{1}{\tau_n} \right) \right) \dots \text{ (expression 11)}$$

Here,  $C_4$  is a constant, and  $\tau_n = -v_r/a_l$ .

As shown in Fig. 11, the risk potential RP4 is calculated using an equation that includes  $TTC = \tau_c$  and the vehicle distance  
20  $d$ , and also a term  $1/\tau_n$  corresponding to the subject vehicle acceleration  $a_l$ . Therefore, if the subject vehicle acceleration  $a_l$  becomes large, the risk potential RP4 becomes large. Accordingly, even if TTC and the vehicle distance  $d$  have the same values, the risk potential RP4 becomes relatively

large at the time of vehicle acceleration, and becomes relatively small at the time of vehicle deceleration.

In the fourth embodiment, since a differentiated value of the reciprocal of TTC is used, it is possible to calculate  
5 the risk potential taking into consideration speed adjustment of the subject vehicle. In this way, by including the vehicle distance  $d$  and the subject vehicle acceleration  $a_1$  in risk potential calculation, it is possible to set a general pedal reaction force according to the distance  $d$  between vehicles.  
10 In addition, it is possible to set a more precise pedal reaction force by calculating the risk potential RP according to speed adjustment of the subject vehicle.

As well as being able to obtain the same results as for the third embodiment described above, it is therefore possible  
15 to calculate a risk potential equivalent to risk actually perceived by the driver. As a result, it is possible to carry out accelerator pedal reaction force control and a warning operation that does not give the driver a strange feeling.

Similarly to the first embodiment, for calculation of  
20 the risk potential RP4, either expression 10 or expression 11 can be appropriately selected depending on the specification of the controller 50.

In the first through fourth embodiments described above, a risk potential RP is notified to a driver using accelerator  
25 pedal reaction force and a warning. But it is also possible

to notify the risk potential RP to a driver using either the accelerator pedal reaction force or the warning. The explanation was given to an example that the warning buzzer and the display monitor were used as the warning system 90,  
5 but it is also possible to use either of them.

It is also possible to carry out further brake pedal reaction force control using a risk potential RP calculated as described above. Alternatively, it is possible to carry out only one of either accelerator pedal reaction force control  
10 or the brake pedal reaction force control based on the risk potential RP.

In the first through fourth embodiments described above, the AF increase amount  $\Delta F$  is set to be proportional to the risk potential RP. However, the present invention is not  
15 limited to this feature, and it is also possible to set the AF increase amount  $\Delta F$  to increase according to an exponential function with respect to the risk potential RP.

In the first through fourth embodiment described above, the laser radar 10 and the vehicle speed sensor 20 are used  
20 to detect the vehicle conditions and the driving environment in the vehicle surroundings. However, the present invention is not thus limited, and it is also possible to use, for example, other type of detectors instead of the laser radar 10 such as milliwave radar, a CCD camera or a CMOS camera.

25 The above described embodiments are examples, and



various modifications can be made without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention.

The disclosure of the following priority application is herein incorporated by reference:

5            Japanese Patent Application No. 2002-343332 filed  
November 27, 2002